

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DI ARMS COMPLA	• • •		
AIRS ID#: 0310564 DATE: 10/30/2013 FACILITY NAME: CECIL FIELD-HANGAR 13		ARRIVE: 10:30an	<u>n</u> DEPART	DEPART: <u>12:30pm</u>	
FACILITY LOCATION: 13520 AEROSPACE WAY JACKSONVILLE 32221-8120 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: LCDR Scott Austin Email: Matthew.S.Austin@uscg.mil CONTACT NAME: Thomas M. LaScola Email: Thomas.M.Lascola@uscg.mil ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 4/2/2009 / 4/2/2014					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) □ IN COMPLIANCE ✓ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.					
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) ☐ Yes ☐ No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used? ☐ Yes ☐ No 3. Does the owner/operator retain, and make available for Department inspection, these records for a period of at least five years? ☐ Yes ☐ No 4. Is the total quantity of VOC's in such coatings 44 lbs/day or less, averaged monthly? ☐ Yes ☐ No 5. Does the amount of coatings used, include solvents and thinners used in the process including those used for cleanups? ☐ Yes ☐ No					
	OPERATING/MAINTANA	ANCE REQUIREMENT	<u>S</u> – Rule 62-210.300,]	F.A.C.	
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) ☐ Yes ☐ No Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) ☐ Yes ☐ No 					

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)				
3. Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by: a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray? b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?					
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?————————————————————————————————————					
David Herrera	10/30/2013				
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection				
	10/30/2014				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection				

COMMENTS: I met with Mr. Thomas LaScola, Environmental Coordinator and Mr. Scott Austin, Facilities Engineering Officer on 10/30/13. The facility was in operation at the time of conducting compliance inspection. While performing inspection, the facility was unable to produce any records pertaining to the amount of paints/solvents used for the past 5 years. I provided the facility with a copy of their original "Air Permit" application, because they were unable to produce it. And a copy of my GPCI Lite inspection sheet for their records. However, the facility was able to provide me with MSDS while conducting my inspection that was kept in a notebook provided by Mr. LaScola. I did request the facility to submit a copy of each MSDS to my email address and to provide me with a copy of paint purchased/used for each year. I also explained to the facility that they needed to start preparing this information as soon as possible. I did convey to the facility that once I shared the inspection results to my supervisor, that the "EQD Agency" would be issueing a "Notice to Correct" in the days ahead. Mr. LaScola did submitt on 11/4/13, each MSDS used by the facility in their operation. Mr. Scott Austin submitted on 11/6/13, a break down of paint purchased for each past year to present day. Mr. Coffman and I hand delivered a "Notice to Correct" to the facility the morning of 11/6/13, and was provided with a response back that same day. All this information has been forwarded to Mr. Coffman and Ms. Lori Tilley at such time of submission. The facility is in "Minor Non-Compliance Status" at this time after completing my inspections results.